Application No. Applicant(s) 10/633,534 SUGITA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit **Brook Kebede** 2823 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Brook Kebede. (2) Mr. Kenneth H. Salen. Date of Interview: 18 September 2005. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 8 and 11. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Kenneth H. Salen, attorney/representative for applicant(s), has confirmed/authorized changes in the claim(s) as shown in the Examiner's amendment. In addition, it is not necessary for applicant(s) to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview resulted in the allowance of the application. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Brook Kekede Examiner's signature, if required